FINCHLEY & GOLDERS GREEN RESIDENTS' FORUM ACTION SHEET 26 JULY 2010

Held at St Michael's Church Hall, The Riding, Off Golders Green Road, Golders Green, NW11
Chairman: Councillor Dean Cohen (Apologies for absence)

*Vice-Chairman: Councillor Graham Old (In the Chair)

(*denotes Councillor present)

	Subject:	Response:	Action:
1.	Review of North	The proposal, forumulated as a result of the North Finchley Controlled	No further action
	Finchley CPZ –	Parking Zone review, was to convert some existing free bays to residents	
	Opposition to any	bays, general permit bays to residents bays and free bays to general	
	changes to the residents' parking	permit bays in order to provide more residents parking bays overall.	
	bays in Torrington	As a result of the statutory consultation necessary as part of the process	
	Park.	to make the proposed changes, a number of comments including the	
	Dr David Gutmann	petition with 21 signatures were received.	
	Torrington Park		
	Residents' Association	Although a formal decision is yet to be made on this matter, having reviewed the comments made and the level of concern expressed it is	
	In Dr Gutmann's	anticipated that a recommendation will be put forward that the proposed	
	absence,	changes should not now proceed. The formal decision on this issue is	
	the Forum noted that	anticipated within the next month.	
	Dr Gutmann was		
	happy with the		
	response given by		
	officers.		

	Subject:	Response:	Action:
2.	Traffic Congestion in Golders Green Road	Being a Town Centre it is expected that there will be a certain degree of congestion at times.	No further action
		congestion at times.	
	and side roads: 1. Suggestion of making the side roads off Golders Green Road eg. Woodstock Avenue, Highfield Avenue, Sinclair Grove or Golders Manor Drive one way to try	Whilst the suggestions are appreciated any response as to their effectiveness or otherwise is theoretical as no investigation has been carried out and at present there are no plans to do so. Additionally the cost to implement any such measures cannot be justified as there is no evidence of what benefits could be achieved and no funding available to facilitate such changes. The Chairman encouraged residents to continue to bring their suggestions on traffic issues to the Forum.	
	and ease congestion. 2. Narrow the pavements — Pavements outside Windsor Court are 4m wide. They could be narrowed by half and used either for an extra traffic lane or for parking.		
	3. Move the pedestrian crossing traffic lights by Highfield Avenue 10m north towards the North Circular Road and make them dual purpose. This would		

Subject:	Response:	Action:
allow buses in and out of Highfield Avenue. Mr Sydney Nathan		
Congestion in Sneath Avenue,NW11: Resident showed the Chairman and officers a photograph of traffic congestion in Sneath Avenue, NW11 taken at 9.00am and suggested that Highways Officers investigate the matter.	The comments and photograph were noted.	Subsequent to the meeting it was brought to the attention of the Transport & Regeneration Manager that the matter had already been raised at the Area Environment Sub Committee held on 24 th June and was under consideration by the Director of Environment & Operations. Mervyn Bartlett Transport and Regeneration Manager
Brent Cross development — Congestion of Traffic: Resident questioned how the extra traffic created by the Brent Cross development could be accommodated on the already congested	The Transport and Regeneration Manager referred the resident to the report that went to Planning and Environment Committee last November which addressed this matter.	No further action

	Subject:	Response:	Action:
	local roads. Ms Dorothy Badrick		
3.	1. At the Council meeting on 14th July 2010, the Mayor allowed item 5.3 (Supplemental Report of the Acting Democratic Services Manager) to be considered as an "urgent" item. Please state what the reason for urgency was.	The Mayor gave the following explanation at the Council meeting on 13 July 2010:- The Acting Democratic Services Manager's report appeared at item 5.3 on the Council Agenda. The item was set out on the published agenda and, as normal, individual papers under that item were circulated to Members and published as soon as they were available. Whilst the officers are satisfied that this meets the necessary requirements, a Member has raised a concern that it does not. For the avoidance of any doubt, I will take the item concerned at 5.3.1 – Item 5.3.1 Member's Allowances, was taken as an urgent item. Problems with IT within the Council have contributed to delay in distributing/publication of the paper, but I am satisfied that Council need to consider the London Councils Independent Remuneration Panel report as soon as possible after its publication in May, particularly given that the next Council meeting is not until 14th September 2010.	No further action
	2. Please provide full details of the allowances all councillors will now receive following the changes introduced at the aforementioned meeting. Please show the full amount that each member is entitled to receive, whether or not they	The full details of the Members' Allowances agreed at the Council meeting on 13 July 2010 can be found at the following link: http://committeepapers.barnet.gov.uk/democracy/reports/reportdetail.asp? ReportID=9439	No further action

	Subject:	Response:	Action:
	claim their full entitlement, and also show the figure they were entitled to receive before the changes.		
	3. If any councillor has decided to forgo some of his/her entitlement, please state his/her name and the amount they will actually be claiming.	The amount received by each member over the financial year is published annually and this will be published at the end of the financial year.	This question has now been received as a Freedom of Information request and is being dealt with accordingly.
	4. Please confirm that if a councillor decides to forgo part of his/her entitlement this year, he/she will not be able to claw the payment back in subsequent years. Mr David Miller	Confirmed.	No further action
4.	'As the Council voted in March, only weeks before the election, to accept the usual pay allowance rate for	The decision to amend the Member Allowances Scheme was taken by Councillors at the Council Meeting on 13 July 2010. Any resident wishing to put their views, ask questions etc, should contact their Ward Councillors either at their respective surgeries or via e mails.	No further action

Subject:	Response:	Action:
councillors, how is it		
justifiable for		
councillors to vote		
again in July, with no		
proper consultation, for		
a new scheme which		
gives them huge rises		
in pay, in the midst of		
austerity measures for		
every other member of		
society, and shortly		
before the imposition in		
Barnet of cuts of		
several million pounds		
in spending on		
essential services,		
numerous job losses		
and widespread pay		
freezes?'		
Mrs T Killick		
There was much		
critical discussion by		
residents on the issue		
of Member		
Allowances, councillors		
representation of		
residents views and		
the democratic		
process.		
process.		

Subject:	Response:	Action:
5. Traffic Management in Ravensdale Avenue, N12 Resident spoke aboasfety issues for beexiting vehicles and pedestrians emanafrom a blind bend in Sainsbury's car parand asked the Courties. 1. To mobilise effort work with Ravensda Residents Associate (RRA) and Sainsbutto draw up and cosscheme which will mitigate the current serious safety hazarelating to Sainsbuth North Finchley carentrance/exit area.	The road signs that were taken away were not Council signs but had been placed there illegally and so had to be removed. A new left turning arrow has been placed on the exit lane from the supermarket car park. Officers have also arranged for a new sign to be installed within the next 2 weeks westbound along Ravensdale Avenue close to Sainsbury's reinforcing the ban on the left turn into the car park, and are in dialogue with Sainsbury's who will be installing a road hump on their land to improve road safety at the car park entrance / exit point. The Council will continue to monitor the situation and work with Sainbury's and the Residents Association to improve road safety in the area.	Installation of road sign and monitoring of situation Mervyn Bartlett Transport and Regeneration Manager
2. To decide on whe measures, which far on the public highwaide of the building line, that can be	III	
line, that can be immediately implemented by the		

Subject:	Response:	Action:
Council and to put a		
timeframe on the other		
measures.		
3. Commit to evaluate		
the impact of the		
measures implemented		
by consulting		
Sainsbury's and RRA		
after a mutually agreed		
period		
and in the interest of		
continuous		
improvement i.e.		
lessons learnt.		
4. Why did the		
Council act in what		
seemingly is perceived		
by many as "a cavalier		
approach"?		
What can be learnt to		
improve procedures		
regarding how a		
request for information		
resulted in action being		
taken without		
consultation ?		
Why did it take 21		

	Subject:	Response:	Action:
	working days after being logged to receive an answer?		
	5. Why did the Council not adopt "a make before break" approach?		
	A representative from Sainsbury's advised the Forum that since the removal of signage by the Council there had been a substantial increase in accidents.		
6.	The Planning and Environment Committee is meeting this coming Thursday and has an item on Brent Cross on the agenda.	Brent Cross Cricklewood Planning Application 29 July 2010 The report to the 29 July Planning Committee is necessary to extend the time allowed to agree the S106 and issue the planning permission. This is necessary as Recommendation 5 of the 18 & 19 Planning and Environment Committee gave a period of 6 months to issue the permission. It should be noted that the Secretary of State decision not to	No further action
	One part asks the committee to extend by three months the deadline for agreeing the Section 106	'call-in' the application was not given until 16 June 2010 which was more than six months from the date of the original committee decision. The report also updates the committee in respect of changes to national planning legislation since November (PPS3, PPS4 and the CIL	

Subject:	Response:	Action:
elements. I	legislation) but concludes that there is no change in circumstances that	
understand this.	would justify a different conclusion to that reached by the 18 th and 19 th	
The rest of the report	Committee.	
makes a number of		
assertions regarding	Minor and non-material changes to extend the period to submit some	
changes in national	Reserved Matters applications is proposed to reduce the risk of the	
legislation and draws	planning application expiring before the necessary approval are in place	
erroneous conclusions.	(Condition 1.2) but this will not extend the period for commencement of	
It also includes 24	the development and all phase 1 critical infrastructure (pre-phase) plus a	
parts to the Section	detailed delivery programme for phase 1 will need to have been approved	
106 agreement and	before the development commences. The conditions are attached as	
which are the key	Appendix 1 to the report.	
documents in the		
planning permission	A third agreed draft of the S106 was placed on the Planning Register on	
23 of these sections	16 July 2010. The S106 is a large document with 27 schedules which	
have been revised	contain the detailed drafting in respect of various provisions of the S106 –	
since the planning committee last	for example the constitution of the Transport Advisory Group, Transport	
November and are	Strategy Group and Consultative Access Forum and the definitions used in the agreement. The latest draft of the S106 is a background paper to	
mainly dated June	the 29 July Committee Report.	
2010. There are no	the 29 July Committee Report.	
tracked changes nor a	Planning and Environment Committee consider the Heads of Terms of	
summary of changes	any proposed S106 agreements. Approval of the full detail of the S106 is	
made and there are	delegated to the Assistant Director of Planning and Development	
hundreds of pages to	Management as long as the detail is in accordance with the Heads of	
wade through to find	Terms. Officers consider that the detailed drafting of the S106 (July 2010)	
out what they are.	accords with the Heads of Terms considered by the November	
	Committee.	
Why the indecent		
haste to ask to PEC to	The Forum was advised that it was important that officers did not say	
approve the whole	anything to compromise the decision which had to be made by the	

	Subject:	Response:	Action:
	report? Why not a simple report asking the committee to grant	Planning and Environment Committee on 29 July and that a full response to their concerns would be made by officers at that meeting.	
	a three month extension of time and defer the remainder of the report until the planning committee in November? Mr David Howard	Residents were advised that they could attend the meeting and address the Committee if they had given the appropriate notice. Normal rules in this respect would apply on Thursday evening. Written representations should be sent to the relevant area planning officer or to the Assistant Director of Planning and Development Management who would put the representations before the Committee on 29 July 2010.	
7.	Child Hill Allotments 1. The roadways throughout the site are in need of repair, please let us know when Barnet are able to do the work	Work requests for allotments are normally forwarded to the Greenspaces Department where urgent works and repairs are actioned with immediate effect and additional works are placed on the allotments work list for consideration. As the allotments has a limited operational budget works have to be prioritised.	No further action
	2. The perimeter fence needs to be replaced in many areas to protect the plots and plot holders, as the site has had items stolen from sheds and produce stolen from plots this year. Are Barnet prepared to carry out this work and if so, when?	 A letter received from the Society requesting plainings to undertake the works to the road was acknowledged and information provided advising that road plainings would not be available until August. The request for a review of the fencing has also been logged for a site visit. The water pressure on the site has been an on-going problem which we have been unable to resolve despite exploring opportunities to bring in a further supply and working with the water provider. Eurobins are not provided to allotment sites via the Council. A skip service of up to two skips per annum is available upon request. Please note that allotment rubbish is generated from allotment gardening activities and does not constitute non allotment items such as fridges, sofa's etc. 	

	Subject:	Response:	Action:
	3. The water pressure is so low that it has been difficult to water crops during this dry weather. Please liaise with Thames Water to remedy the problem. 4. We would like a Eurobin on site, to be emptied every 2 weeks to remove rubbish, can this be arranged? Hilary Burden Secretary, Child's Hill Allotments Association		
8.	Parking in Granville Road, NW2 Matthew Curtis Resident spoke of the parking problems in Granville Road exarcerbated by the overspill from new flats where developers were charging an additional £15,000 for a parking space which residents	The Director of Corporate Governance advised the Forum that the Assistant Director – Legal would be asked to work with colleagues to investigate the set of circumstances raised by the residents and ascertain whether the planning conditions given for the development have been breached. If developers for this or other developments have found a loophole to enable them to breach planning conditions, appropriate action will be taken by the Council to ensure this does not happen in the future when planning applications are approved.	Director Corporate Goverance/Assistant Director Legal

	Subject:	Response:	Action:
	were not buying.		
9.	Hendon Football Club Dorothy Badrick Resident advised the	Noted.	
	Forum that the 19 July	Noted.	
	deadline for a bat		
	survey had passed and		
	the survey had not taken place.		
10.	Outstanding Items from 17June Action		
	Sheet :		
	Item 2: Halls for Hire :		
	Resident advised the Forum that the	Noted.	
	CommUnity Barnet		
	website currently did		
	not show halls for hire		
	although he had been		
	advised that they were planning to do so in the		
	future. Anyone who		
	had a hall for hire		
	should contact		
	CommUnity Barnet.		

This question was raised at 13 July 2010 Council when the Cabinet Member for Community Safety and Cohesion advised that 'to date we have not been advised of any specific proposals relating to police numbers in Barnet'. This is a matter for the Metropolitan Police and the Borough Commander.	
The matter will be reported as a priority to the Street Cleansing and Ancillary Services Manager.	The area was cleared of litter on 27 July 2010 and arrangements made for the area to be monitored twice weekly. Mervyn Bartlett Transport and Regeneration Manager
	, , ,

Subject:	Response:	Action:			
Item 5: 11 Mountfield					
Road, N3 3ND					
Resident asked if	The Housing Manager will be asked to write to resident with this	Ingrid Leggatt			
families were placed	information.	Housing Manager			
here under the Home					
Choice Scheme and					
whether or not					
properties are					
inspected before					
vulnerable families are					
placed in them.					
The next meeting of t	The next meeting of the Finchley & Golders Green Area Residents' Forum will take place at 6.30pm on Tuesday, 19				
	October 2010 at Avenue House, 17 East End Road, Finchley N3				

The Forum which started at 6.30pm ended at 8.40pm

Officers Present:

Jeff Lustig – Director of Corporate Governance Karina Sissman – Finchley & Golders Green Area Planning Manager Mervyn Bartlett – Transport & Regeneration Manager Stephanie Chaikin – Democratic Services Officer